



FY07 UWP Project Selection Methodology Spectrum



FY07 UWP Program Development Process



Issues associated with core elements for other agencies (base allocation process)

Other UWP member agencies: City of Chicago, CTA, Pace, Metra, RTA, Counties-as a single entity

Potential core elements for other agencies: Preliminary Planning (RTP Development work), TIP Development (Capital Programs Development)

Base planning and allocation approach for all agencies: provide a funding dollar amount per agency and have each agency identify work that will be performed, or identify core elements work that will be performed by each agency and leave funding amount flexible

	Option 2: Fund CATS/CoM/NIPC transportation staff and provide allocation for other UWP member agencies	Option 3: Fund CATS/CoM/NIPC transportation staff only
P r o s	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides funding stability to agencies for staff and planning purposes • Ensures the full participation of UWP member agencies in the process • Helps agencies to meet otherwise unfunded mandates and recapture some costs • Ensures essential functions get done 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides a clear picture of short-term regional planning priorities for both agencies and the public • Allows more dollars to address emphasis areas
C o n s	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Difficulty of deciding on what criteria will be used for allocating funds • Base allocation of a dollar figure for agencies would result in some loss of flexibility in UWP Committee directing planning priorities for the region • If all agencies completely identified core elements there may not be enough money to fund the core 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sets Council of Mayors above other UWP member agencies • TIP development by agencies would occur anyway